



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/516,061	03/01/2000	Venkat Gopalan	50093/016001	3858
21559 75	90 04/18/2005	EXAMINER		INER
CLARK & EL			PATTERSON, C	CHARLES L JR
101 FEDERAL BOSTON, MA	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
boston, MA	. 02110		1652	

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/516,061	GOPALAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Charles L. Patterson, Jr.	1652		

Advisory Action	09/516,061 GOPALAN ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Charles L. Patterson, Jr.	1652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F		D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 April 2005</u> . A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.	37(e)), to avoid dismi	ssal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			because				
(b) \boxtimes They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) \boxtimes They are not deemed to place the application in be	ow);		the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicants sould be a program to following rejection (see	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	i (PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	• ——	e, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .	•	•					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>Norre</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,8-11,13-21 and 29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>22-28 and 30-40</u>).						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by of the reasons previously stated and those discussed a	bove.		ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Charles L. Patterso	M on, Jr.				
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1652					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New figure 1 would be objected to as being new matter, as stated in the previous action, without some showing that the residues that were supposedly previously under opaque black lines are taught by some reference published before the filing date of this application. Also, many of the sequences desired to be entered into the specification as Table 1 refer to a database (GenBank), and as stated in the last action a database cannot be incorporated by reference and cannot be used as a reference since the person who owns the database may change it at any time. Therefore Table 2 refering to GenBank accession numbers would be objected to as being new matter. Similarly the addition of "GenBank No. AE000394" in claim 1 would cause a rejection of that claim as containing new matter.